Technical Document 2734 January 1995

A Simple Method for **Range Finding via Laser Triangulation**

Hoa G. Nguyen Michael R. Blackburn MAR 2 8 1995

19950324 058

G

Approved for public use; distribution is unlimited.

inc. and in



Technical Document 2734 January 1995

A Simple Method for Range Finding via Laser Triangulation

Hoa G. Nguyen Michael R. Blackburn

1	Accesio	n For		
	NTIS DTIC Unanno Justifica	CRA&I TAB ounced ation		
	By Distribution /			
	Availability Codes			
	Dist	Avail Spe	and / or ecial	
	A-1			

NAVAL COMMAND, CONTROL AND OCEAN SURVEILLANCE CENTER RDT&E DIVISION San Diego, California 92152–5001

K. E. EVANS, CAPT, USN Commanding Officer R. T. SHEARER Executive Director

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

This work was performed as part of a project funded by the Advanced Research Projects Agency and the Office of Naval Research.

Released by D. E. Demuth, LCDR, Head Adaptive Systems Branch

j,

Under authority of D. W. Murphy, Head Advanced Systems Division

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Bart Everett for the use of the robot and his critiques of this report and Steve Timmer for mechanical fabrication support.

CONTENTS

Figures				
REFERENCES	5			
PERFORMANCE	4			
IMPLEMENTATION	3			
PROCEDURE	1			
	1			

1.	Configuration for triangulation ranging.	1
2.	Setup of laser and camera	2
3.	Laser and camera combination	3
4.	Robot directing remote manipulator arm	4
5.	Precision versus range	5

•

•

. #.

ź.

INTRODUCTION

For determining range via triangulation, the baseline distance between source and sensor as well as sensor and source angles are used in theory. Figure 1 shows the configuration for triangulation ranging (Everett, 1995):



Figure 1. Configuration for triangulation ranging.

P1 and P2 represent two reference points (e.g., camera and laser source), while P3 is a target point. The range B can be determined from the knowledge of the baseline separation A and the angles θ and ϕ using the law of sines:

$$B = A \frac{\sin \theta}{\sin \alpha} = A \frac{\sin \theta}{\sin(\theta + \phi)}$$
(1)

In practice this is difficult to achieve because the baseline separation and angles are difficult to measure accurately. We have demonstrated a technique for obtaining range information via laser triangulation without the need to know A, ϕ , and θ . This technique was successfully implemented on a laser range-finding system on the NRaD ModBot (Modular Robot) test bed.

PROCEDURE

Figure 2 diagrams the setup of our laser and camera. The camera is represented by the image plane, focal point, and optical axis. The laser is directly above the camera, although its exact position is unimportant (we will only deal with the beam of light, represented by line *CE* in the diagram).

The laser is positioned so that the path of the laser and the optical axis form a vertical plane. Point P is the target of interest. We wish to find x, the projection of point P on the optical axis. u is the (vertical) projection of point P on the image plane (the scan line in the image on which the spot is detected). P_1 and P_2 are two points used in the calibration of the system; x_1, x_2, u_1 , and u_2 are known.

E is the point where the path of the laser intersects the optical axis. We angle the laser such that point E is at the center of the range of interests. However, this technique also works with the laser path parallel to the optical axis. There is no particular need for accurate determination or setting of the axes, beyond a concern for precision to be discussed later. There is also no need to know the baseline distance between camera and laser, nor the focal length (f) of the camera.



Figure 2. Setup of laser and camera.

Determination of *x* is achieved as follows:

From the geometry of similar triangles, we have

$$\frac{y_1}{x_1} = \frac{u_1}{f}$$
 and $\frac{y_2}{x_2} = \frac{u_2}{f}$ (2)

We place the origin of the coordinate system at the focal point, without loss of generality. The slope (m) of the laser path and the y-intercept (c, the height of point C) are:

$$m = \frac{y_2 - y_1}{x_2 - x_1}$$
 and $c = y_2 - mx_2$ (3)

Substituting equation 2 into equation 3 to eliminate y_1 and y_2 , we have:

$$m = \frac{u_2 x_2 - u_1 x_1}{f(x_2 - x_1)}$$
 and $c = \frac{u_2 x_2}{f} - m x_2$ (4)

We note that it is difficult to find the exact "focal point" of a given camera. However, point C in figure 2, which is directly above this focal point, can be found given measurements of y_1 and y_2 (equation 3) or knowledge of the focal length, f, (equation 4). This point can be used as the location of a "virtual" laser source, and the length OC becomes the "virtual" baseline distance. We can then proceed with the law of sines approach for range determination using these parameters.

However, f is hard to determine accurately for some lenses (e.g., zoom lenses), and y_1 and y_2 are also difficult to measure. They are the offsets perpendicular to the optical axis, not the height from the ground. Also, the optical axis does not necessarily pass through the center of the image, but varies from camera to camera.

We used a simpler method that does not require the knowledge of y_1 , y_2 , or f. We note that the line uP passing through O is represented by:

$$y = \frac{u}{f}x \tag{5}$$

and the laser path is of the form:

$$y = mx + c \tag{6}$$

Solving for x from equations 5 and 6, and simplifying using equation 4, we get:

$$x = \frac{N}{ud - k} \tag{7}$$

where N, d, and k are obtained after a simple calibration process, and

$$d = x_{2} - x_{1}$$

$$k = u_{2}x_{2} - u_{1}x_{1}$$

$$N = (u_{1} - u_{2})x_{1}x_{2}$$
(8)

During calibration, we put targets at distances x_1 and x_2 from the camera, record the height u_1 and u_2 at which the laser spot striking the targets appear on the image, and compute d, k, and N using equation 8. Then, during range-finding operations, we simply note the height u of the laser spot in the image and use equation 7 to compute range. We can accomplish this without knowing the base-line separation or angles between the camera and laser source. Furthermore, equations 7 and 8 are insensitive to errors in the optical axis (i.e., $u' = \alpha + u$, $u_1' = \alpha + u_1$, $u_2' = \alpha + u_2$ will give the same results).

IMPLEMENTATION

We used this laser triangulation technique in a project studying adaptive sensor-motor transformations (Blackburn and Nguyen, 1994). We needed depth information, but only a single video camera was available. The camera provided both visual information about the scene and the range to target via detection of the laser spot in the image. We attached a 5-mW solid-state diode laser on top of the charge-coupled device (CCD) camera, and used a red filter on the lens to increase sensitivity to the laser spot. The laser and camera combination, mounted on a pan-and-tilt unit on a mobile robot (ModBot), is shown in figure 3.



Figure 3. Laser and camera combination.

To assess target range, the target is acquired and the optical axis automatically placed at its center using the pan-and-tilt unit. The laser illuminates a spot on the target, and the vertical position of the spot in the image is used for range calculations. To accommodate small targets, the distance y (separation between laser spot and optical axis) must be kept small. This in turn means that the baseline separation between the laser source and the camera cannot be large, and the angle of the laser path must be small (fairly parallel to the optical axis). We chose to place the laser approximately 7 cm above the camera with a slight downward tilt ($E \sim 1.2$ m). The distances we were interested in were between 0.5 m and 2 m. Figure 4 shows the robot directing a remote manipulator arm to reach a cup being suspended as a target (see [Blackburn and Nguyen, 1994]).



Figure 4. Robot directing remote manipulator arm.

PERFORMANCE

Our laser triangulation method is subject to a limiting factor common to all triangulation systems: reduced precision with increasing range. With the setup described above, our precision decreases from 3 mm at 30 cm to 8 cm at 1.5 m (see figure 5).

Increasing the separation distance and the laser angle will improve precision. However, in our case these are constrained by the need to keep y small. By keeping y small, we ensure that both the optical axis and the laser spot fall on the same target object (analogous to minimizing the "missing parts" problem [Everett, 1995]). Due to our somewhat unique application (i.e., motion-driven saccade mechanism), the range to target we desire is actually the distance x, and not the length *OP* (refer to figure 2), as is usually the case in most triangulation applications. But as a byproduct of keeping y small, $x \approx \overline{OP}$.

An alternate approach that would yield slightly higher precision is to use a lookup table that stores the predetermined range for every pixel height (see the Quantic Ranging System [Everett, 1995]). This would account for imperfections in the camera lens. But this approach is not appropriate for a research robot such as ModBot. Modbot's laser rangefinder is used in many applications. Each



Figure 5. Precision versus range.

application requires the laser to be re-aimed to get the crossover point, E, at the middle of the range of interests (e.g., 1 m for manipulation tasks and 3 m for navigational tasks), and every change would require repeating a much more time-consuming calibration.

Another problem often associated with laser rangefinders is the specular reflections and absorption on different surfaces, decreasing detectability. We have noticed this on several instances in our application. We found that a red filter helped in the detection of the laser spot in most instances. Using a pulsed laser coupled with frame subtraction would also increase sensitivity. However, the tradeoff is that twice as many image frames would have to be digitized and transferred from the frame grabber to the processor board, and the current speed bottleneck in most real-time vision systems (including ours) is this frame-grabbing and transferring activity.

REFERENCES

- 1. Blackburn, Michael R., and Hoa G. Nguyen. "Robotic Sensor-Motor Transformations," 1994 Image Understanding Workshop Proc. (pp. 209-214). November 13-16, Monterey, CA.
- 2. Everett, H. R. 1995 (in press). Sensors for Mobile Robots: Theory and Application. A K Peters, Wellesley, MA.

	RT DOCUMENTATION	N PAGE	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
Public reporting burden for this collection of in maintaining the data needed, and completing a suggestions for reducing this burden, to Wa 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management	Information is estimated to average 1 hour per respons and reviewing the collection of information. Send comm ishington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Infi and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-018	se, including the time for reviewing instructio nents regarding this burden estimate or any of ormation Operations and Reports, 1215 Je 38), Washington, DC 20503.	ns, searching existing data sources, gathering ner aspect of this collection of information, incl fferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlingtor
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT	TYPE AND DATES COVERED
	January 1995	Fin	al
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		5. FUNDING	NUMBERS
A SIMPLE METHOD FOR R	ANGE FINDING VIA LASER TRI	ANGULATION AN PE:	DN301069 0601153N
6. AUTHOR(S)		PRO	DJ: MS14
Hoa G. Nguyen, Michael R. B	lackburn		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) A	ND ADDRESS(ES)	8. PERFOR	MING ORGANIZATION
Naval Command, Control and	d Ocean Surveillance Center (NCC)	OSC)	NUMBER
RDT&E Division San Diego, California 92152–	-5000	TD	2734
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAM	ME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	10. SPONSC	
Office of Naval Research (ONR Cognitive and Neural S&T Divi 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217–5000	 Advanced Research Projects ision ATTN: SISTO 3701 N. Fairfax Dr. Arlington, VA 22203-1714 	Agency (ARPA)	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			на. В.н.д
	T		
		120. DISTRI	SUTION CODE
Approved for public use; distr	ribution is unlimited.		
Approved for public use; distr 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) We present the design any	ibution is unlimited.	finder for robotic application	s via lacor triangulation. The
Approved for public use; distr 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) We present the design and technique does not require kn been successfully implemente	tibution is unlimited. d implementation of a simple range owledge of baseline separation and ed on a mobile robot, providing rang	finder for robotic application angles from camera and laser ge information for controlling	s via laser triangulation. The to the target. The system has a remote manipulator.
Approved for public use; distr 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) We present the design and technique does not require kn been successfully implemente 14. SUBJECT TERMS	tibution is unlimited. d implementation of a simple range owledge of baseline separation and d on a mobile robot, providing rang	finder for robotic application angles from camera and laser ge information for controlling	s via laser triangulation. The to the target. The system has a remote manipulator.
Approved for public use; distr 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) We present the design and technique does not require kn been successfully implemente 14. SUBJECT TERMS laser range finding laser triangulation range finders for robotic appli	tibution is unlimited.	e finder for robotic application angles from camera and laser ge information for controlling	s via laser triangulation. The to the target. The system has a remote manipulator.
Approved for public use; distr 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) We present the design and technique does not require kn been successfully implemente been successfully implemente 14. SUBJECT TERMS laser range finding laser triangulation range finders for robotic appli 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	tibution is unlimited. d implementation of a simple range owledge of baseline separation and d on a mobile robot, providing rang d on a mobile robot, providing rang	e finder for robotic application angles from camera and laser ge information for controlling	s via laser triangulation. The to the target. The system has a remote manipulator.

21a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL	21b. TELEPHONE (include Area Code)	21c. OFFICE SYMBOL
Hoa G. Nguyen	(619) 553-1871	Code 531

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION

Code 0012	Patent Counsel	(1)
Code 0271	Archive/Stock	(6)
Code 0274	Library	(2)
Code 50	H. O. Porter	(1)
Code 53	D. W. Murphy	(1)
Code 531	LCDR D. E. Demuth	(1)
Code 531	H. G. Nguyen	(50)
Code 531	M. R. Blackburn	(50)

Defense Technical Information Center Alexandria, VA 22304–6145 (4)

NCCOSC Washington Liaison Office Washington, DC 20363–5100

Center for Naval Analyses Alexandria, VA 22302–0268

Navy Acquisition, Research and Development Information Center (NARDIC) Arlington, VA 22244–5114

GIDEP Operations Center Corona, CA 91718–8000